

Advice on Giving a Policy Brief Presentation (for undergraduate economists)

Kyle Coombs¹

Vassar College

December 2, 2025

¹This document adapts core ideas from Jesse Shapiro's "Advice on Giving an Applied Micro Talk" and develops new recommendations with the assistance of ChatGPT (OpenAI).

Purpose of a Policy Brief Presentation

A policy brief presentation is not a seminar.

Your goal is simple:

Convey a compelling policy problem, show why it matters, explain what the best available evidence says, and conclude with a grounded recommendation.

Keys:

- ▶ Distill complexity
- ▶ Foreground intuition over technical detail
- ▶ Be honest about uncertainty
- ▶ Guide the audience: “Why does this matter?”

General Principles

Clarity > Completeness

- ▶ Define the problem in one clean sentence.
- ▶ State the stakes early.
- ▶ Minimum technical detail for credibility.

A policy brief is judged by understanding, not exhaustiveness.

Guide Your Audience

- ▶ Start with a roadmap.
- ▶ Transition clearly between sections.
- ▶ Recap before recommendations.

Prioritize Intuition

- ▶ Explain mechanisms intuitively.
- ▶ State magnitudes meaningfully.
- ▶ Avoid notation unless necessary.

Structure of an Effective Policy Brief Presentation

1. State the Problem & Why It Matters
2. Provide Essential Context
3. Summarize the Evidence
4. Discuss Limitations Honestly
5. Present Policy Alternatives & Recommendation
6. End with Takeaways

1. State the Problem and Why It Matters

Start with:

What is the problem? Why should the audience care right now?

Effective openings:

- ▶ Specific policy puzzle or failure
- ▶ Short, vivid fact or statistic
- ▶ Consequences if ignored

Avoid long historical digressions; foreground the stakes.

2. Provide Essential Context

- ▶ Current policy landscape
- ▶ Relevant institutions
- ▶ Scope and magnitude of the problem

Carefully chosen statistics anchor understanding.

One or two excellent facts > many loosely connected ones.

3. Summarize the Evidence

- ▶ Group findings into 2–4 key lessons
- ▶ Show convergence/divergence across methods
- ▶ Explain credibility in plain language

Technique

State the lesson first, then briefly justify it with evidence.

If a study is central: give intuition, not technical detail.

4. Discuss Limitations Honestly

Acknowledge uncertainty:

- ▶ External validity
- ▶ Identification weaknesses
- ▶ Insufficient data
- ▶ Open research questions

Credibility > Unwarranted certainty

5. Present Policy Alternatives & Recommendation

Structure:

1. Plausible policy options
2. Evidence for each option
3. Tradeoffs
4. Recommendation

A good recommendation:

- ▶ Flows from evidence
- ▶ Acknowledges uncertainty
- ▶ Identifies who benefits or loses
- ▶ Clearly states implementation considerations

6. End with Takeaways

- ▶ Restate problem & your answer
- ▶ Emphasize most important empirical insight
- ▶ Identify key unknown for future research

Your Final Slide Should Answer:

What should policymakers remember 24 hours from now?

Style, Delivery, and Slides

Slide design:

- ▶ Minimal text (no full sentences unless necessary)
- ▶ Use graphs, not tables
- ▶ Label axes; annotate important regions
- ▶ One idea per slide

Delivery:

- ▶ Speak slowly; pause after key points
- ▶ Signpost transitions
- ▶ Explain visuals before interpreting
- ▶ Rehearse until nothing feels improvised

Common Mistakes to Avoid

- ▶ Starting with too much background
- ▶ Diving into empirical design before stating the question
- ▶ Listing studies instead of synthesizing lessons
- ▶ Overstating what the evidence can support
- ▶ Recommendation not clearly tied to evidence
- ▶ Ending with new results instead of takeaways

Final Thoughts

Giving a strong policy brief presentation is a core skill for economists.

It requires all the discipline of an applied micro seminar talk, but with a different objective:

Translate rigorous evidence into actionable, comprehensible policy guidance.

Clarity, structure, intuition, and credibility matter more than technical detail.

Keep it simple, guide the audience, and make choices that reflect your judgment as an economist.